



# Target Requirements and Gap Analysis Document

## Ozone ECV

Issued by: BIRA-IASB / Michel Van Roozendael

Date: 19/02/2021

Ref: C3S\_D312b\_Lot2.1.0-2020(O3)\_TRD-GAD\_v3.11.doc

Official reference number service contract: 2018/C3S\_312b\_Lot2\_DLR/SC1

*This document has been produced in the context of the Copernicus Climate Change Service (C3S). The activities leading to these results have been contracted by the European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts, operator of C3S on behalf of the European Union (Delegation Agreement signed on 11/11/2014). All information in this document is provided "as is" and no guarantee or warranty is given that the information is fit for any particular purpose. The user thereof uses the information at its sole risk and liability. For the avoidance of all doubts, the European Commission and the European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts has no liability in respect of this document, which is merely representing the authors view.*



## Contributors

### **BIRA-IASB**

M. Van Roozendael  
C. Lerot  
J. Vlietinck  
A. De Rudder  
A. Keppens  
T. Verhoelst  
D. Hubert  
J.-C. Lambert

### **LATMOS/UPMC**

A. Boynard  
C. Clerbaux

### **DLR**

D. Loyola  
K.-P. Heue  
M. Coldewey-Egbers

### **UiB**

N. Rahpoe  
K.-U. Eichmann  
M. Weber

### **KNMI**

M. van Weele  
R. van der A  
J. van Peet  
O. Tuinder  
M. Allaart

### **RAL**

B. Latter  
R. Siddans  
B. Kerridge

### **FMI**

V. Sofieva  
S. Tukiainen  
J. Tamminen

## History of modifications

Version	Date	Description of modification	Chapters / Sections
0.0 / 1.0	18.12.2018	Adapted from C3S_312a_Lot4 TRGAD v1 [D4]	Whole document
2.0	06.03.2020	Cross-harmonisation within Lot2	Whole document
2.1 / 2.11	27.03.2020	Revision following comments by ASSIMILA	Whole document
3.0	09.12.2020	December 2020 update	Scope of the document
3.1	17.02.2021	Minor spell / layout corrections	Executive summary
3.11	19.02.2021		Section 3.6



## Related documents

Reference ID	Document
D1	GCOS, 2016, The Global Observing System for Climate: Implementation Needs. GCOS-200, WMO, Geneva, October 2016
D2	van Weele, M. and the Ozone_cci science team, 2016, Ozone_cci Phase-II User Requirement Document (URD), Version 3, Ozone_cci_URD_3.0, 12 April 2016
D3	van Weele, M., Müller, R., Riese, M., Engelen, R., Parrington, M., Peuch, V.-H., Weber, M., Rozanov, A., Kerridge, B., Waterfall, A., and Reburn, J., 2015, User requirements for monitoring the evolution of stratospheric ozone at high vertical resolution, 'Operoz', Operational ozone observations using limb geometry. ESA report, Expro Contract: 4000112948/14/NL/JK
D4	Lot4 Ozone Target Requirement and Gap Analysis Document 2018 (TRGAD, C3S_312a_Lot4.1.1.1_201803_TR_GA_v1)

## Acronyms

Acronym	Definition
ACE	Atmospheric Chemistry Experiment
ALGOM	GOMOS Level2 Algorithm Evolution Studies (ESA-funded project)
BIRA-IASB	Royal Belgian Institute for Space Aeronomy
BUV	Backscattered Ultraviolet
CAMS	Copernicus Atmosphere Monitoring Service
CCI	Climate Change Initiative
CDR	Climate Data Record
CDS	Climate Data Store
CF	Climate and Forecast (Metadata Conventions)
C3S	Copernicus Climate Change Service
DLR	Deutsches Zentrum für Luft- und Raumfahrt (German Aerospace Centre)
ECMWF	European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts
ECV	Essential Climate Variable
Envisat	Environmental Satellite (ESA)
EP	Earth Probe
ERA5	ECMWF Re-Analysis 5
ESA	European Space Agency
EU	European Union
FCDR	Fundamental Climate Data Record
FMI	Finnish Meteorological Institute
FORLI	Fast Optimal/Operational Retrieval on Layers for IASI
FP6	Sixth Framework Programme (EU)



FY	Feng Yun (Chinese satellite)
GAD	Gap Analysis Document
GAIA-CLIM	Gap Analysis for Integrated Atmospheric ECV Climate Monitoring (EU H2020 project)
GAID	Gaps Assessment and Impacts Document
GCOS	Global Climate Observation System
GEOMon	Global Earth Observation and Monitoring (EU FP6 project)
GHG	Greenhouse Gas(es)
GODFIT	GOME-type Direct Fitting retrieval algorithm
GOME	Global Ozone Monitoring Experiment (aboard ERS-2)
GOME-2	Global Ozone Monitoring Experiment – 2 (aboard Metop-A and Metop-B)
GOMOS	Global Ozone Monitoring by Occultation of Stars
GTO	GOME-type Total Ozone
HALOE	Halogen Occultation Experiment
H2020	Horizon 2020 (EU research and development programme)
IASB-BIRA	Royal Belgian Institute for Space Aeronomy
IASI	Infrared Atmospheric Sounding Interferometer
IASI-NG	IASI New Generation
ICDR	Intermediate Climate Data Record
IR	InfraRed
KIT	Karlsruhe Institute of Technology
KNMI	Royal Netherlands Meteorological Institute
LATMOS	Laboratoire Atmosphères et Observations Spatiales
LP	Limb Profile/Profiler
L1/L2/L3/L4	Level 1/2/3/4
Metop	Meteorological Operational Platform (EUMETSAT)
MIPAS	Michelson Interferometer for Passive Atmospheric Sounding
MLS	Microwave Limb Sounder
MSR	Multi-Sensor Reanalysis
NASA	National Aeronautics and Space Administration (USA)
NCEO	National Centre for Earth Observation (UK)
NDACC	Network for the Detection of Atmospheric Composition Change
NERC	Natural Environment Research Council (UK)
NetCDF	Network Common Data Form (data file format)
NOAA	National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (USA)
NP	Nadir Profile
OMI	Ozone Monitoring Instrument (aboard EOS-Aura)
OMPS	Ozone Mapping and Profiler Suite
OSIRIS	Optical Spectrograph and InfraRed Imaging System (aboard Odin)
OSSMOSE	Observing System of Systems Simulator for Multi-mission Synergies Exploration
Ozone_cci	ESA Climate Change Initiative ozone project
ppbv	Part per billion volume
POAM	Polar Ozone and Aerosol Measurement (POAM II & III) instruments
RAL	Rutherford Appleton Laboratory
R&D	Research and Development
SABER	Sounding of the Atmosphere using Broadband Emission Radiometry



SAGE	Stratospheric Aerosol and Gas Experiment
SBUV	Solar Backscatter Ultraviolet Radiometer
SCIAMACHY	Scanning Imaging Absorption Spectrometer for Atmospheric CHartographY (aboard Envisat)
SMART	Specific, measurable, achievable, realistic, and time bound
SMR	Sub-Millimetre Radiometer (aboard Odin)
TC	Total Column
TOMS	Total Ozone Mapping Spectrometer
TRD	Target Requirement Document
TROPOMI	Tropospheric Monitoring Instrument (on board S5P)
UARS	Upper Atmosphere Research Satellite
UiB	University of Bremen
UK	United Kingdom
UNI-HB	University of Bremen
UPMC	Université Pierre et Marie Curie
URD	User Requirements Document
USA	United States of America
UTLS	Upper Troposphere / Lower Stratosphere
UV	UltraViolet
VIS	Visible
WMO	World Meteorological Organization
WOUDC	World Ozone and Ultraviolet Radiation Data Centre (at ECCC) <a href="http://www.woudc.org/">http://www.woudc.org/</a>

## General definitions

Climate Data Record (CDR)	A (Thematic) Climate Data Record is derived from a FCDR and closely connected to an ECV but strictly covers one geophysical variable, whereas an ECV can encompass several geophysical variables. A (F)CDR can encompass several instruments.
Data Product (or: ECV product)	The geophysical product underlying a (I)CDR, characterized by product definition, product name, processing level, instruments used, processing algorithm (name and version), data provider, and data format.
Data Requirement	A quantitative requirement on spatio-temporal coverage and resolution, uncertainty and stability.
Essential Climate Variable (ECV)	A geophysical variable that is associated with climate variation and change as well as the impact of climate change onto Earth [GCOS-200]. ECVs might encompass a set of CDRs with associated Data Products.



Fundamental Climate Data Record (FCDR)	A well-characterized, long-term data record of, <i>e.g.</i> , calibrated radiances, with calibrations sufficient to allow the generation of a Data Product that is accurate and stable, in both space and time, to support climate applications. A FCDR includes the ancillary data used in the calibration [GCOS-200].
Gap	An unfulfilled (Target) User Requirement.
Gap Analysis	The assessment of Gaps, <i>i.e.</i> , an assessment of the differences between the (Target) User Requirements and their present-day fulfillment.
GCOS requirements	Quantitative Data Requirements for the ECVs on spatiotemporal resolution, accuracy and stability following the latest GCOS Implementation Plan, 2016 (GCOS-200). These are Target User requirements.
Interim Climate Data Record (ICDR)	A CDR which is regularly updated with an algorithm/system having maximum consistency to the CDR generation algorithm/system. The update cycle depends on the user requirements [GCOS-200].
Target User Requirement	A technology-aware potentially achievable User Requirement which could be regarded as the long-term development goal for an ECV.
User Requirement	A user need for (aspects of) a Data Product, including Data Requirements, metadata information, analysis tools, data formats, etc.
Level 1	Measured satellite data product: geolocated radiance (spectra)
Level 2	Satellite-derived data product: geolocated geophysical variables. Here: O <sub>3</sub> , aerosol or CO <sub>2</sub> and CH <sub>4</sub> information for each ground-pixel
Level 3	Aggregated satellite data product: gridded geophysical variables Here: Gridded O <sub>3</sub> , aerosol or CO <sub>2</sub> and CH <sub>4</sub> information, <i>e.g.</i> , 5 deg times 5 deg, monthly
Level 4	Satellite-derived data product: Here: Assimilated O <sub>3</sub> columns or Surface fluxes (emission and/or uptake) of CO <sub>2</sub> and CH <sub>4</sub>



Systematic error	Component of measurement error that in replicate measurements remains constant or varies in a predictable manner. “Systematic error” = “Absolute systematic error” (in contrast to “Relative systematic error”).
Relative systematic error	Identical with “Systematic error” but after bias correction. (especially important for satellite GHG ECV products).
Bias	Estimate of a systematic measurement error ( <i>JCGM, 2008</i> ).
Precision	The measure of reproducibility or repeatability of the measurement without reference to an international standard so that precision is a measure of the random and not the systematic error. Suitable averaging of the random error can improve the precision of the measurement but does not establish the systematic error of the observation ( <i>CMUG-RBD, 2012</i> ). We quantify precision here with the standard deviation (1-sigma) of the error distribution.
Stability	A term often invoked with respect to long-term records when no absolute standard is available to quantitatively establish the systematic error - the bias defining the time-dependent (or instrument-dependent) difference between the observed quantity and the true value ( <i>CMUG-RBD, 2012</i> ). Stability requirements cover inter-annual error changes. If the change in the average bias from one year to another is larger than the defined values, the corresponding product does not meet the stability requirement.
Representativity	How much a measured value represents the value over a grid cell of a model. It is important when comparing with or assimilating in models. Measurements are typically averaged over different horizontal and vertical scales compared to model fields. If the measurements are smaller scale than the model it is important. The sampling strategy can also affect this term ( <i>CMUG-RBD, 2012</i> ).
Threshold requirement	The threshold is the limit at which the observation becomes ineffectual and is not of use for climate-related applications ( <i>CMUG-RBD, 2012</i> ). Threshold requirements are given for statistical quantities (average and standard deviation of an error distribution) rather than for individual soundings. This means that some sub-ensembles of a dataset can be useful and some





others not. Threshold requirements are fully driven by the target application (here regional flux inversions), irrespective of available technology.

Goal requirement	The goal is an ideal requirement above which further improvements are not necessary ( <i>CMUG-RBD, 2012</i> ). This requirement is relative to a given state of the art for the target application. Indeed, the more accurate and precise the satellite data products are, the larger their information content is. However, other errors such as model transport errors do not allow exploiting the additional information content data have if they are more accurate than the specified goal requirement.
Breakthrough requirement	The breakthrough is an intermediate level between the “threshold” and “goal” requirements, which - if achieved - would result in a significant improvement for the targeted application. The breakthrough level may be considered as an optimum, from a cost-benefit point of view when planning or designing observing systems ( <i>CMUG-RBD, 2012</i> ).
Horizontal resolution	Area over which one value of the variable is representative of ( <i>CMUG-RBD, 2012</i> ).
Vertical resolution	Height over which one value of the variable is representative of. Only used for profile data ( <i>CMUG-RBD, 2012</i> ).
Observing Cycle	Temporal frequency at which the measurements are required ( <i>CMUG-RBD, 2012</i> ). In this document also the term “Revisit time” is used. The definition is identical with the definition of “Observing cycle”. Both terms refer to the (average) temporal frequency at a given location.



## Table of Contents

<b>History of modifications</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>Related documents</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>Acronyms</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>General definitions</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>Scope of the document</b>	<b>11</b>
<b>Executive summary</b>	<b>12</b>
<b>1. Product description</b>	<b>14</b>
<b>1.1 Total and tropospheric column products of the Ozone ECV</b>	<b>14</b>
<b>1.2 Nadir profile products of the Ozone ECV</b>	<b>15</b>
<b>1.3 Limb profile products of the Ozone ECV</b>	<b>15</b>
<b>2. Target User Requirements</b>	<b>16</b>
<b>2.1 General target user requirements for all products of the Ozone ECV</b>	<b>16</b>
<b>2.2 Target user requirements for total and tropospheric column products of the Ozone ECV</b>	<b>17</b>
<b>2.3 Target user requirements for nadir profile products of the Ozone ECV</b>	<b>17</b>
<b>2.4 Target user requirements for limb profile products of the Ozone ECV</b>	<b>18</b>
<b>2.5 Summary of numbered target user requirements for the Ozone ECV</b>	<b>19</b>
<b>3. Gap Analysis</b>	<b>21</b>
<b>3.1 Description of past, current and future satellite coverage</b>	<b>22</b>
3.1.1 Extension of total ozone and provision of tropical tropospheric ozone data records	22
3.1.2 Long-term assimilated nadir ozone profiles	23
<b>3.2 Development of processing algorithms</b>	<b>24</b>
3.2.1 Long-term changes in Antarctic total ozone during the pre-satellite era (< 1979)	24
<b>3.3 Methods for estimating uncertainties</b>	<b>26</b>
3.3.1 Need for improved quantification of representativeness errors	26
<b>3.4 Opportunities to improve quality and fitness-for-purpose of the CDRs</b>	<b>28</b>
3.4.1 Reprocessing of L1 data sets used to improved long-term tropospheric ozone records	28
3.4.2 Ozone profile data with vertical resolution in the lower troposphere UTLS	29
3.4.3 Improvement of ozone profile CDRs in polar regions	30
3.4.4 Improvement of ozone profile CDRs in the UTLS region	32
<b>3.5 Scientific research needs</b>	<b>33</b>
<b>3.6 Opportunities to exploit the Sentinels and any other relevant satellite</b>	<b>34</b>
<b>References</b>	<b>36</b>



## Scope of the document

This document aims at providing relevant information on user (target) requirements to users of atmospheric ozone climate data records (CDRs). Atmospheric ozone is one of the Essential Climate Variables (ECVs) defined by the GCOS [D1]. The document summarizes the extent to which the current or foreseeable provision of ozone data products meets – or fails to meet – (target) user requirements. Unfulfilled user requirements are referred to as ‘gaps’.

By definition, any gap analysis ignores currently fulfilled user needs. When responding to one or more of the identified gaps, funding agencies should therefore avoid proposing remedying actions that would compete with present-day activities in such a way as to result in new gaps arising.

The current document is the continuation of the target requirement and gap analysis initiated in March 2018 in the framework of the C3S\_312a\_Lot4 contract, which was the first gap analysis for the ECV Ozone [D4]. Through its successive updates, the document has undergone substantial modifications under the C3S\_312b\_Lot2 contract. The modifications account for the issue of new, extended or reprocessed ozone data products and for the evolution of the approach towards gap analysis. It is intended as being an evolving document responding to the community’s requirements.

User needs on the continuation of existing ozone data records and the development of a new space infrastructure for the ECV Ozone could be part of a gap analysis. Although a table listing important initiatives for new missions is provided (Section 3.6), the analysis of future mission initiatives is, however, considered outside the scope of the present document.

The document is divided into three chapters. Chapter 1 describes the ozone data products to which the present document refers. Chapter 2 provides the (target) user requirements for the ECV Ozone. Chapter 3 provides a gap analysis of the ozone data products, covering gaps in data availability and data quality in the past, present and future that should be addressed partly within and partly outside the C3S framework. Research gaps would need to be addressed by further research activities outside the C3S framework.



## Executive summary

The gap analysis of the currently provided C3S ozone data products is based on (target) user requirements pertaining to ozone climate data records. The user requirements on ozone total column, partial columns and concentration profiles can be traced to GCOS [D1] and ESA Ozone\_cci [D2]. The ozone profile requirements can be traced further to the ESA *Operoz* study [D3].

The set of gaps presented in this document was compiled using a template for gaps which was filled in by the data product providers to assure *SMART* (*Specific, measurable, achievable, realistic, and time bound*) proposals for (partial) closure of the identified gaps between (target) user requirements and the status of the current ozone data products in the Climate Data Store. Proposed remedies include improvements upon the currently available ozone data products in terms of quality and/or uncertainty estimates, as well as the extension of existing ozone data products, reprocessing activities and new data products.

The results of the gap analysis are summarized in Table 0.1 including recommendations on how the service can be improved in the future. The recommendations are, in principle, classified as OPER (operational) or R&D (Research and Development). The latter likely requires funding by bodies outside Copernicus (e.g., ESA via CCI or follow-on or related programmes/projects).

Table 0.1 *List of identified gaps for ozone ECVs*

C3S service component covered by the Ozone component of project C3S_312b_Lot2 (satellite-derived ozone ICDRs / CDRs and related services): <b>List of identified gaps and recommendations on how to improve</b> (not ordered by priority)			
GAP ID	Type	Gap	Recommendation
GAP_ALG_1	R&D	Extension of total ozone and provision of tropical tropospheric ozone data records.	Development, testing and application of improved merging algorithms to include the additional measurements from US sensors in the existing European total and tropical tropospheric ozone data records.
GAP_ALG_2	R&D	Long-term changes in Antarctic total ozone during the pre-satellite era (< 1979).	To perform an extensive integrated analysis of MSR total ozone column measurements prior to 1979 focusing on Antarctica.
GAP_ALG_3	R&D	Reprocessing of L1 data sets used to improved long-term tropospheric ozone records.	L1 data reprocessing + improved handling of instrumental properties in the retrieval scheme.
GAP_ALG_4	R&D	Ozone profile data with vertical resolution in the lower troposphere and	Increase sensitivity and vertical resolution of RAL retrieval scheme in the lower troposphere by extending its wavelength coverage to the visible/near-IR. Global data



		upper troposphere / lower stratosphere.	produced from 1995 onwards to investigate links between near-surface ozone and surface biophysical quantities indicative of ozone precursor emissions. Combining co-located IR with UV observations would significantly improve vertical resolution in the UTLS.
<b>GAP_ALG_5</b>	R&D	Improvement of ozone profile CDRs in polar regions.	(i) Comparison of satellite records with merged and ground-based data in order to understand the reasons for the difference in estimated trends in polar regions. (ii) Analysis of the influence of sampling pattern by different instruments on the ozone trends. (iii) Evaluation of POAM III, SAGE III and SABER data for possibility of including them to merged datasets. (iv) Optimization of the merged SAGE-CCI-OMPS dataset for polar regions. (v) Ozone trend analyses in polar regions + investigation of their seasonal dependence.
<b>GAP_ALG_6</b>	R&D	Improvement of ozone profile CDRs in the UTLS region.	Generate a specialized climate data record of ozone profiles for UTLS studies, using as many as possible high-quality dataset. The SAGE-CCI-OMPS dataset can be enhanced by using Aura/MLS data.
<b>GAP_VAL_1</b>	R&D	Long-term assimilated nadir ozone profiles.	Correction of retrieved O <sub>3</sub> profiles from different instruments (1979-2018) by comparison with the WOUDC reference ground-truth O <sub>3</sub> profiles + assimilation of the corrected nadir profiles to gridded 3D O <sub>3</sub> fields.
<b>GAP_VAL_2</b>	R&D	Need for improved quantification of representativeness errors in satellite and ground-based CDRs and for their comparison.	Derivation of the sampling / representativeness uncertainty in the comparison of ozonesonde and satellite L-3 data sets and re-interpretation of the validation results.
<b>GAP_INP_1</b>	R&D	Better spectroscopic measurements of ozone absorption lines.	Funding of fundamental research activities by, or at least endorsed through, national and international research programmes.



## 1. Product description

In this section we provide an overview of the data products for the ECV Ozone to which the present document applies. The data products are CDRs which are specified in a table in terms of a product name, product definition (total column, vertical profile, etc.), processing level and sensor input.

Additionally, per data product, information is provided in a second table on the processing algorithm (name and version), data provider, time period and coverage. The data delivery format in the Climate Data Store (CDS) is always NetCDF-CF.

### 1.1 Total and tropospheric column products of the Ozone ECV

Table 1.1 An overview of the (I)CDRs for the total and tropospheric columns of the Ozone ECV

Product name	Product type	Variable	Processing level	Sensor input
TC_GOME2A	ICDR	Total ozone	Level-3	GOME-2A
TC_GOME2B	ICDR	Total ozone	Level-3	GOME-2B
TC_OMI	ICDR	Total ozone	Level-3	OMI
TC_OMPS	ICDR	Total ozone	Level-3	OMPS
TTC_IASI	ICDR	Total and tropospheric ozone	Level-3	IASI
TC_GTO-ECV	ICDR	Total ozone	Level-3	GOME, SCIAMACHY, GOME-2A, GOME-2B, OMI
TC_MSR	ICDR	Total ozone	Level-4	Multi-sensor(*)
TC_GOME	CDR	Total ozone	Level-3	GOME
TC_SCIA	CDR	Total ozone	Level-3	SCIAMACHY

(\*) Merged/assimilated product based on GOME, SCIAMACHY, OMI, GOME-2A/B, BUV-Nimbus4, TOMS-Nimbus7, TOMS-EP and SBUV-7, -9, -11, -14, -16, -17, -18, -19.

Table 1.2 Specifications of the total and tropospheric column Data Products of the Ozone ECV

Product name	Processing algorithm	Data provider	Time period	Coverage
TC_GOME2A	GODFIT-v4	BIRA / DLR	2007 -	Global
TC_GOME_2B	GODFIT-v4	BIRA / DLR	2013 -	Global
TC_OMI	GODFIT-v4	BIRA / DLR	2004 -	Global
TC_OMPS	GODFIT-v4	BIRA / DLR	2012 -	Global
TTC_IASI	FORLI v20151001	LATMOS	2008 -	Global
TC_GTO-ECV	GTO-ECV v3.2	BIRA / DLR	1995 -	Global
TC_MSR	TMDAM	KNMI	1970 -	Global
TC_GOME	GODFIT-v4	BIRA / DLR	1995 - 2003	Global
TC_SCIA	GODFIT-v4	BIRA / DLR	2002 - 2012	Global



## 1.2 Nadir profile products of the Ozone ECV

Table 1.3 An overview of the (I)CDRs for the nadir profile products of the Ozone ECV

Product name	Product type	Variable	Processing level	Sensor input
NP_GOME2A	ICDR	Ozone profile (nadir)	Level-3	GOME-2A
NP_GOME2B	ICDR	Ozone profile (nadir)	Level-3	GOME-2B
NP_OMI	ICDR	Ozone profile (nadir)	Level-3	OMI
NP_GOME	CDR	Ozone profile (nadir)	Level-3	GOME
NP_SCIA	CDR	Ozone profile (nadir)	Level-3	SCIAMACHY

Table 1.4 Specifications of the nadir profile Data Products of the Ozone ECV

Product name	Processing algorithm	Data provider	Time period	Coverage
NP_GOME_2A	RAL fv0300	RAL / KNMI	2007 -	Global
NP_GOME_2B	RAL fv0215	RAL / KNMI	2013 -	Global
NP_OMI	RAL fv0214	RAL / KNMI	2004 -	Global
NP_GOME	RAL fv0301	RAL / KNMI	1995 - 2003	Global
NP_SCIA	RAL fv0214	RAL / KNMI	2002 - 2010	Global

## 1.3 Limb profile products of the Ozone ECV

Table 1.5 An overview of the (I)CDRs for the limb profile products of the Ozone ECV

Product name	Product type	Variable	Processing level	Sensor input
LMZ_OSIRIS	ICDR	Ozone profile (limb)	Level-3	OSIRIS
LMZ_OMPS	ICDR	Ozone profile (limb)	Level-3	OMPS
LMZ_ACE	ICDR	Ozone profile (limb)	Level-3	ACE
LMZ_MLS	ICDR	Ozone profile (limb)	Level-3	MLS
LMZ_SABER	ICDR	Ozone profile (limb)	Level-3	SABER
LMZ_MERGED	ICDR	Ozone profile (limb)	Level-3	Multi-sensor(*)
LP_MERGED	ICDR	Ozone profile (limb)	Level-3	Multi-sensor(**)
LMZ_MIPAS	CDR	Ozone profile (limb)	Level-3	MIPAS
LMZ_GOMOS	CDR	Ozone profile (limb)	Level-3	GOMOS
LMZ_SCIA	CDR	Ozone profile (limb)	Level-3	SCIAMACHY
LMZ_SAGE2	CDR	Ozone profile (limb)	Level-3	SAGE-2
LMZ_HALOE	CDR	Ozone profile (limb)	Level-3	HALOE

(\*) Monthly zonal mean merged product based on MIPAS, GOMOS, SCIAMACHY, OSIRIS, SMR, ACE and SAGE-2.

(\*\*) Latitude-longitude gridded merged product based on MIPAS, GOMOS, SCIAMACHY and OSIRIS.

Table 1.6 Specifications of the limb profile Data Products of the Ozone ECV

Product name	Processing algorithm	Data provider	Time period	Coverage
LMZ_OSIRIS	USask v5.10	USask / UNI-HB / FMI	2001 -	Global
LMZ_OMPS		UNI-HB / FMI	2012 -	Global
LMZ_ACE	UoT v3.5/3.6	UoT / UNI-HB / FMI	2004 -	Global
LMZ_MLS	NASA v4.2	NASA / UNI-HB / FMI	2004 -	Global
LMZ_SABER	NASA v2.0	NASA / UNI-HB / FMI	2002 -	Global
LMZ_MERGED	FMI v1	FMI	1984 -	Global
LP_MERGED	FMI v1	FMI	2002 -	Global



<b>LMZ_MIPAS</b>	KIT/IAA v7R_O3_240	KIT / UNI-HB / FMI	2005 - 2012	Global
<b>LMZ_GOMOS</b>	ALGOM2s v1	UNI-HB / FMI	2002 - 2011	Global
<b>LMZ_SCIA</b>	UBr v3.5	UNI-HB / FMI	2002 - 2012	Global
<b>LMZ_SAGE2</b>	NASA v7.0	NASA / UNI-HB / FMI	1984 - 2005	Global
<b>LMZ_HALOE</b>	NASA v19	NASA / UNI-HB / FMI	1991 - 2005	Global

## 2. Target User Requirements

The user community and applications identified for the ECV Ozone are largely derived from the climate monitoring application requirements defined in the ESA *Operoz* study on operational ozone profile requirements [D3].

Global total ozone levels decreased through the 1980s and early 1990s, by about 2.5% in the global mean; the decrease was most pronounced in the Antarctic (related to the Antarctic ozone hole), noticeable but moderate in the mid-latitudes, with very little ozone change in the tropics (WMO 2014). Since 2000 signs of recovery appear in the ozone profile records.

### 2.1 General target user requirements for all products of the Ozone ECV

Continuation of ozone column and profile climate monitoring is recommended by GCOS [D1] and it is required for the verification of the Montreal Protocol and its amendments and adjustments. Also follow-up limb and/or occultation missions are recommended in the coming decades with expected ozone layer recovery [D1].

The target user requirements for ozone data records are derived from the GCOS requirements on ozone [D1] and from the Ozone\_cci User Requirements Document [D2]. Additional user requirements are derived from the ESA *Operoz* study on operational ozone profile requirements [D3]. **Fehler! Verweisquelle konnte nicht gefunden werden.**

Several user requirements vary with the altitude region considered.

The geographic coverage of all ozone data products should be global (including polar night).

<b>REQ-O3-1</b>	The geographic coverage of the ozone data products should be global (incl. polar night).
-----------------	--

Stringent stability requirements apply for decadal trend monitoring, extending the long-term observational requirements on stability to sequentially planned operational missions.

<b>REQ-O3-2</b>	The target stability of the ozone data products is of 1% / decade (threshold: 3% / decade).
-----------------	---





All data products should be provided with a traceable error budget breakdown.

<b>REQ-O3-3</b>	The ozone data products should be provided with a traceable error budget breakdown.
-----------------	---

The update frequency of the ozone data records should preferably be once per day, and must not be less than once per week. Up to the middle stratosphere included, the target value required by GCOS is more demanding and is of every 4 hours.

<b>REQ-O3-4</b>	The ozone data products should be updated at a daily frequency – for GCOS, every 4h, except in the upper stratosphere / mesosphere – (threshold: weekly).
-----------------	---

User requirements on the Ozone ECV include requirements on the data format. All data products are to be provided using NetCDF. Further requirements relate to the metadata.

<b>REQ-O3-5</b>	The ozone data products are to be provided in NetCDF.
-----------------	---

## 2.2 Target user requirements for total and tropospheric column products of the Ozone ECV

The target horizontal resolution of the ozone total and tropospheric columns is of 20 km, with threshold values of 100 km and 200 km respectively.

<b>REQ-O3-TC-1</b>	The target horizontal resolution of the ozone total column is of 20 km (threshold: 100 km).
<b>REQ-O3-TC-2</b>	The target horizontal resolution of the ozone tropospheric column is of 20 km (threshold: 200 km).

The target uncertainty of the ozone total and tropospheric columns should be of 2% and 8% respectively, with acceptable threshold values of 3% and 16% respectively.

<b>REQ-O3-TC-3</b>	The target uncertainty of the ozone total column should be of 2% (threshold: 3%).
<b>REQ-O3-TC-4</b>	The target uncertainty of the ozone tropospheric column should be of 8% (threshold: 16%).

## 2.3 Target user requirements for nadir profile products of the Ozone ECV

The user requirements about spatial resolution and uncertainty of the ozone nadir profiles depend on the atmospheric region envisaged.



Horizontal resolution should be as fine as

- 20 km in the troposphere, with a threshold value of 200 km;
- 100 km in the stratosphere, with a threshold value of 200 km;
- 200 km in the upper stratosphere and mesosphere, with a threshold value of 400 km.

GCOS has more stringent requirements, with a target value of 20 km everywhere and a threshold value of 50 km above the troposphere).

<b>REQ-O3-NP-1</b>	<p>The horizontal resolution of the nadir ozone profiles should be as fine as the following as a function of the altitude region.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Troposphere: 20 km (threshold: 200 km).</li> <li>• Lower and middle stratosphere: 100 km – GCOS: 20 km – (threshold: 200 km – GCOS: 50 km).</li> <li>• Upper stratosphere / mesosphere: 200 km – GCOS: 20 km – (threshold: 400 km – GCOS: 50 km).</li> </ul>
--------------------	---

The vertical resolution of the ozone nadir profiles should be as fine as 6 km (or partial columns should be provided). GCOS requirements are more demanding, with a target vertical resolution of 5 km in the troposphere, 1 km in the lower and middle stratosphere (threshold: 2 km) and 3 km in the upper stratosphere and mesosphere.

<b>REQ-O3-NP-2</b>	<p>The vertical resolution of the nadir ozone profiles should be as fine as 6 km (target), or partial columns should be provided (threshold). As a function of the altitude region, GCOS requires a finer vertical resolution:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Troposphere: 5 km.</li> <li>• Lower and middle stratosphere: 1 km (threshold: 2 km).</li> <li>• Upper stratosphere / mesosphere: 3 km.</li> </ul>
--------------------	---

The relative uncertainty of the ozone nadir profiles should be less than 8% in the troposphere and lower stratosphere (threshold: 16%) and less than 4% (threshold: 8%) above. The absolute uncertainty should be less than 50 ppbv (threshold: 100 ppbv) in the lower and middle stratosphere.

<b>REQ-O3-NP-3</b>	<p>As a function of the altitude region, the relative uncertainty of the ozone nadir profiles should be less than the following values.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Troposphere and lower stratosphere: 8% (threshold: 16%).</li> <li>• Middle and upper stratosphere / mesosphere: 4% (threshold: 8%).</li> </ul>
<b>REQ-O3-NP-4</b>	<p>In the lower and middle stratosphere, the absolute uncertainty of the ozone nadir profiles should be less than 50 ppbv (threshold: 100 ppbv).</p>



## 2.4 Target user requirements for limb profile products of the Ozone ECV

The user requirements about spatial resolution and uncertainty of the ozone limb profiles also depend on the atmospheric region envisaged.

Along-track sampling should be as fine as

- 100 km in the lower and middle stratosphere, with a threshold value of 200 km;
- 200 km in the upper stratosphere and mesosphere, with a threshold value of 400 km.

In the latter region, GCOS has more stringent requirements, with a target value of 100 km and a threshold value of 200 km.

<b>REQ-O3-LP-1</b>	<p>The along-track sampling of the limb ozone profiles should be as fine as the following as a function of the altitude region.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Lower and middle stratosphere: 100 km (threshold: 200 km).</li> <li>• Upper stratosphere / mesosphere: 200 km – GCOS: 100 km – (threshold: 400 km – GCOS: 200 km).</li> </ul>
--------------------	--

The vertical resolution of the ozone limb profiles should be as fine as 1 km in the lower and middle stratosphere (threshold: 2 km) and 2 km in the upper stratosphere and mesosphere (threshold: 4 km).

<b>REQ-O3-LP-2</b>	<p>The vertical resolution of the limb ozone profiles should be as fine as the following as a function of the altitude region.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Lower and middle stratosphere: 1 km (threshold: 2 km).</li> <li>• Upper stratosphere / mesosphere: 2 km (threshold: 4 km).</li> </ul>
--------------------	---

The relative uncertainty of the ozone limb profiles should be less than 8% in the lower stratosphere (threshold: 16%) and less than 4% (threshold: 8%) above. The absolute uncertainty should be less than 50 ppbv (threshold: 100 ppbv) in the lower and middle stratosphere.

<b>REQ-O3-LP-3</b>	<p>As a function of the altitude region, the relative uncertainty of the ozone limb profiles should be less than the following values.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Lower stratosphere: 8% (threshold: 16%).</li> <li>• Middle and upper stratosphere / mesosphere: 4% (threshold: 8%).</li> </ul>
<b>REQ-O3-LP-4</b>	<p>In the lower and middle stratosphere, the absolute uncertainty of the ozone limb profiles should be less than 50 ppbv (threshold: 100 ppbv).</p>

## 2.5 Summary of numbered target user requirements for the Ozone ECV



Here we provide a list of all numbered requirements in this document (with shortened text), so that it can be used as reference in other documents assessing the compliance with those requirements.

Table 2.1 User requirements for the Ozone ECV.

<b>C3S service component covered by the Ozone component of project C3S_312b_Lot2 (satellite-derived ozone ICDRs / CDRs and related services): List of target user requirements (not ordered by priority)</b>	
REQ. ID	Requirement
REQ-O3-1	The geographic coverage of the ozone data products should be global (incl. polar night).
REQ-O3-2	The stability of the ozone data products should be 1% / decade (threshold: 3% / decade).
REQ-O3-3	The ozone data products should be provided with a traceable error budget breakdown.
REQ-O3-4	The ozone data products should be updated at a daily frequency – for GCOS, every 4h, except in the upper stratosphere / mesosphere – (threshold: weekly).
REQ-O3-5	The ozone data products are to be provided in NetCDF.
REQ-O3-TC-1	The target horizontal resolution of the ozone total column is of 20 km (threshold: 100 km).
REQ-O3-TC-2	The target horizontal resolution of the ozone tropospheric column is of 20 km (threshold: 200 km).
REQ-O3-TC-3	The target uncertainty of the ozone total column should be of 2% (threshold: 3%).
REQ-O3-TC-4	The target uncertainty of the ozone tropospheric column should be of 8% (threshold: 16%).
REQ-O3-NP-1	<p>The horizontal resolution of the nadir ozone profiles should be as fine as the following as a function of the altitude region.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Troposphere: 20 km (threshold: 200 km).</li> <li>• Lower and middle stratosphere: 100 km – GCOS: 20 km – (threshold: 200 km – GCOS: 50 km).</li> <li>• Upper stratosphere / mesosphere: 200 km – GCOS: 20 km – (threshold: 400 km – GCOS: 50 km).</li> </ul>
REQ-O3-NP-2	<p>The vertical resolution of the nadir ozone profiles should be as fine as 6 km (target); partial columns should at least be provided (threshold).</p> <p>As a function of the altitude region, GCOS requires a finer vertical resolution as follows.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Troposphere: 5 km.</li> <li>• Lower and middle stratosphere: 1 km (threshold: 2 km).</li> <li>• Upper stratosphere / mesosphere: 3 km.</li> </ul>
REQ-O3-NP-3	As a function of the altitude region, the relative uncertainty of the ozone nadir profiles should be less than the following values.



	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Troposphere and lower stratosphere: 8% (threshold: 16%).</li> <li>• Middle and upper stratosphere / mesosphere: 4% (threshold: 8%).</li> </ul>
<b>REQ-O3-NP-4</b>	In the lower and middle stratosphere, the absolute uncertainty of the ozone nadir profiles should be less than 50 ppbv (threshold: 100 ppbv).
<b>REQ-O3-LP-1</b>	<p>The along-track sampling of the limb ozone profiles should be as fine as the following as a function of the altitude region.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Lower and middle stratosphere: 100 km (threshold: 200 km).</li> <li>• Upper stratosphere / mesosphere: 200 km – GCOS: 100 km – (threshold: 400 km – GCOS: 200 km).</li> </ul>
<b>REQ-O3-LP-2</b>	<p>The vertical resolution of the limb ozone profiles should be as fine as the following as a function of the altitude region.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Lower and middle stratosphere: 1 km (threshold: 2 km).</li> <li>• Upper stratosphere / mesosphere: 2 km (threshold: 4 km).</li> </ul>
<b>REQ-O3-LP-3</b>	<p>As a function of the altitude region, the relative uncertainty of the ozone limb profiles should be less than the following values.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Lower stratosphere: 8% (threshold: 16%).</li> <li>• Middle and upper stratosphere / mesosphere: 4% (threshold: 8%).</li> </ul>
<b>REQ-O3-LP-4</b>	In the lower and middle stratosphere, the absolute uncertainty of the ozone limb profiles should be less than 50 ppbv (threshold: 100 ppbv).

### 3. Gap Analysis

An assessment has been made of the differences between the (Target) User Requirements presented in Section 2 and their current fulfillment. A Gap is here defined as an unfulfilled (Target) User Requirement. For C3S, gaps are distinguished into the following five gap types:

- (i) Gaps in past, current and future satellite coverage (Section 3.1)
- (ii) Gaps in the development of processing algorithms (Section 3.2),
- (iii) Gaps in the methods for estimating uncertainties (Section 3.3),
- (iv) Gaps in the quality and fitness-for-purpose of the CDRs (Section **Fehler! Verweisquelle konnte nicht gefunden werden.**),
- (v) Knowledge gaps, pointing to scientific research needs and new opportunities (Section 3.5, 3.6).

To collect a set of *SMART* gaps, *i.e.*, unfulfilled user needs that potentially could be resolved by specific, cost and time bound actions, a gap template form was developed and distributed to data providers of ozone data products. The distributed gap template asked for the specification of the gap as follows:



- Gap title
- Gap description
- References (papers, project reports)
- Gap impacts (users impact of not addressing the gap)
- Potential remedy for the gap

The set of gaps that has been identified currently and analysed towards remedies includes:

- Extension of total ozone and provision of tropical tropospheric ozone data records
- Long-term assimilated nadir ozone profiles
- Long term changes in Antarctic total ozone during the pre-satellite era (< 1979)
- Need for improved quantification of representativeness errors in satellite and ground-based CDRs and for their comparison
- Reprocessing of L1 data sets used to improved long-term tropospheric ozone records
- Ozone profile data with vertical resolution in the lower troposphere and upper troposphere / lower stratosphere
- Improvement of ozone profile CDRs in polar regions
- Improvement of ozone profile CDRs in the UTLS region
- Ozone profile data with vertical resolution in the lower troposphere and upper troposphere / lower stratosphere

These gaps are further analyzed in section 3.1 to 3.5. In addition some other gaps have been identified, but not yet analyzed towards *SMART* envisaged remedies as further information needs to mature to enable such an assessment. In section 3.6 we discuss some future opportunities for recently launched instruments measuring ozone and tabulate important planned or proposed satellite missions addressing the ECV Ozone.

Most of the gaps identified in this section are being addressed in the framework of the ESA Ozone\_cci+ project.

### **3.1 Description of past, current and future satellite coverage**

In this section gaps on data coverage are reported, given the historical records of the ECV Ozone and the envisaged data availability for the next 10-15 years.

#### **3.1.1 Extension of total ozone and provision of tropical tropospheric ozone data records**

##### Gap Description

The current merged data record (GOME-type Total Ozone Essential Climate Variable) developed in the ESA CCI ozone project and included in the C3S Climate Data Store (CDS) contains global total ozone columns from the European satellite sensors GOME/-2, SCIAMACHY, and OMI. It covers the 22 year period from 1995 to 2017 (Coldewey-Egbers et al., 2015). From the same satellite sensors a



harmonized tropical tropospheric ozone data record (1995-2017) was developed in ESA CCI (Heue et al., 2016), but this data record is currently not included in C3S-CDS.

Furthermore, measurements of total and tropical tropospheric ozone columns from the US sensors TOMS and SBUV/-2 have been performed since 1978 (Ziemke et al., 1998; Frith et al., 2017), which would allow an extension of existing C3S merged data records backwards in time.

Gap impact

Users need harmonized tropospheric ozone columns in addition to total ozone data records (starting in 1978 with the US sensors and continuing to the next two decades with additional data from the European Copernicus sensors) in order to perform long-term trend analysis and an investigation of ozone interannual variability on global and regional scales.

Potential remedy

Preparation of merged tropical tropospheric ozone data record (Heue et al., 2016) for inclusion in C3S Climate Data Store. Development, testing and application of improved merging algorithms to include the additional measurements from US sensors in the existing European total and tropical tropospheric ozone data records.

Underlying close-to-fulfilled but improvable target user requirements:

- REQ-O3-1
- REQ-O3-2
- REQ-O3-TC-3

Underlying unfulfilled target user requirement:

- REQ-O3-TC-4

GAP.ID	Type	Gap	Recommendation
GAP_ALG_1	R&D	Extension of total ozone and provision of tropical tropospheric ozone data records.	Development, testing and application of improved merging algorithms to include the additional measurements from US sensors in the existing European total and tropical tropospheric ozone data records.

3.1.2 Long-term assimilated nadir ozone profiles

Gap Description

Currently several long-term time series of about 40 years exist for total ozone columns, but no profile information is included. On the other hand several data sets exist of retrieved ozone profiles in nadir



from SBUV in the past till OMI and GOME-2 recently. What is missing is a consistent long time series (40 years), that is intercalibrated between instruments and gridded in time and place. Ideally in time steps of less than 1 day to monitor the diurnal cycle.

### Gap impact

Climate modelers have no verification of long-term changes in the vertical distribution in their model. Trends in tropospheric ozone or other altitudes cannot be monitored.

### Potential remedy

#### **Step 1**

Correct retrieved ozone profiles from different instruments (1979-2018) by comparison with the reference ground-truth ozone profiles of the WOUDC.

#### **Step 2**

Data assimilation of the corrected nadir ozone profiles to gridded 3D ozone fields.

### Underlying unfulfilled target user requirements:

REQ-O3-NP-3

REQ-O3-NP-4

GAP.ID	Type	Gap	Recommendation
GAP_VAL_1	R&D	Long-term assimilated nadir ozone profiles.	Correction of retrieved O <sub>3</sub> profiles from different instruments (1979-2018) by comparison with the WOUDC reference ground-truth O <sub>3</sub> profiles + assimilation of the corrected nadir profiles to gridded 3D O <sub>3</sub> fields.

## **3.2 Development of processing algorithms**

In this section gaps on the processing algorithms are reported.

### 3.2.1 Long-term changes in Antarctic total ozone during the pre-satellite era (< 1979)

#### Gap Description

Traditionally, analyses of long term trends in Antarctic total ozone have focussed on the satellite era that started essentially in 1979 (Dameris et al., 2014; de Laat et al., 2017; Weber et al., 2018). Data





records prior to 1979 were more scarce, consisting mostly of ground-based instrumentation, although data from several experimental NIMBUS satellites between 1970 and 1978 have provided some useful satellite-based information on total ozone. Analyses of pre-satellite total ozone columns have been published (Brönnimann et al., 2013), also for Antarctica (Stolarski et al., 1997).

The digitization of “old” data records, as well as new methods for data integration using data assimilation techniques have allowed for reconstructions of total (Antarctic) ozone columns before 1979 back to 1970 (van der A et al., 2015). In addition, the data assimilation techniques allow also the use of ground-based total ozone column measurements, potentially extending the total ozone record even further back. Furthermore, data assimilation techniques also allow for reconstruction of (Antarctic) total ozone columns on a daily basis, yielding more data for analysis purposes. However, an integrated analysis of long term Antarctic ozone reanalysis data prior to 1979 using available ozone measurements is still lacking.

### Gap Impact

Although long integrated total ozone reconstruction have become available, limited analyses has been performed on (Antarctic) total ozone reanalysis data prior to 1979. Such analyses are very important in helping establish more firmly how much is really understood about Antarctic ozone depletion. It has been estimated based on chemistry-transport-model simulations that between 25-50% of the decline in Antarctic total ozone due to increasing ozone depleting substances has occurred prior to 1980 (Langematz et al., 2016), but empirical evidence supporting these numbers is still lacking.

### Potential remedy

To perform an extensive integrated analysis of MSR total ozone column measurements prior to 1979 focusing on Antarctica, according to the following steps:

- Extension of the MSR-2 reanalysis during the 1970s using ground-based total ozone column measurement data. This allows for comparison of assimilated total ozone columns with and without ground-based data, and establish the added value of assimilation of ground-based data as well as the robustness of the assimilation results;
- Evaluation of the errors on the daily total ozone columns of the MSR;
- Extension of the reanalysis back to 1960 using ground-based data. Assessing the possibility of including Dobson measurements that are using moonlight;
- Evaluation of daily total ozone columns over Antarctica, analysis of long term changes in the respective seasonal and annual probability distributions, and interpretation of these long term changes;
- Analysis of events/cases of low total ozone columns: what is the realism of low values occurring at certain moments in time; use of weather reanalysis data (ERA5) and chemistry-transport simulations (CCMVAL);



- Analysis of model simulations (CCMVAL) of 1960-1980 changes in Antarctic total ozone, including the robustness of results in relation to the spatio-temporal coverage of satellites and ground-based data;
- Comparison of the analysis of model results and of the reanalysis data: consistency and long term trends. How well can model results be constrained by observations, and can the model-based estimates of Antarctic ozone depletion prior to 1980 be confirmed?

Underlying close-to-fulfilled but improvable target user requirement:

REQ-O3-1

GAP.ID	Type	Gap	Recommendation
GAP_ALG_2	R&D	Long-term changes in Antarctic total ozone during the pre-satellite era (< 1979).	To perform an extensive integrated analysis of MSR total ozone column measurements prior to 1979 focusing on Antarctica.

### 3.3 Methods for estimating uncertainties

In this section gaps are reported which are relevant to methods for (better) estimating uncertainties, e.g. to enhance knowledge about error characterization and error chains (traceability).

#### 3.3.1 Need for improved quantification of representativeness errors

##### Gap Description

The vertical column and distribution of atmospheric ozone is measured through several observation techniques from space (*e.g.*, nadir backscatter, solar occultation, limb emission and scattering from different orbits) and from the ground. Differences in spatiotemporal sampling between satellite-based observations, and also between satellite- and ground-based observations, are considerable. Those differences can result in significant uncertainties in monthly data in synoptic-scale grid cells and, especially, in zonal bands. Those so-called sampling/representativeness uncertainties add to, and in several cases, even dominate over the measurement and retrieval uncertainties associated with the individual datasets (C3S PQAD v1.1, Lambert et al., 2017b). If neglected, these can hamper the ground-based quality assessment of satellite gridded CDRs and, consequently, the C3S ozone profile data validation reported in the PQAR v1 (Lambert et al., 2017a, Section 2.4).

Initial estimates of sampling/representativeness uncertainty have been published for some satellite ozone profile data (Sofieva et al., 2014; Millán et al., 2016) and for ground-based total column ozone data (Verhoelst et al., 2015; Coldewey-Egbers et al., 2015). Unfortunately, such estimates have not been reported for ground-based profile observations and for other types of satellite observations. This lack of knowledge was also identified as an important gap in the ground-based capabilities for



C3S data validation in the H2020 GAIA-CLIM GAID: **Gaps Assessment and Impacts Document**, <http://www.gaia-clim.eu/page/gaid> (gap G3.05). An appropriate quantification and characterization of ground-based sampling errors (systematic component, cycles, noise) is a prerequisite to a more robust and a more detailed assessment of uncertainties in satellite level-3 profile data records.

### Gap Impact

As long as sampling/representativeness uncertainties remain unquantified and, hence, neglected in the uncertainty budget of a gridded data product and also in a ground-based evaluations process, they result in:

- A less robust characterization of systematic error and random uncertainty of satellite profile data products, and a lack of knowledge of their pattern in time and space (e.g., cycles and drifts as an evolution of the systematic error);
- A reduced spatiotemporal coverage (e.g., 1990s vs 2000s, Northern mid-latitude vs Tropics and Southern Hemisphere) and scale (e.g., synoptic/zonal, monthly/seasonal/annual) over which quality indicators on CDRs can be derived.

### Potential remedy

#### **Step 1**

Use of the OSSSMOSE simulator (Observing System of Systems Simulator for Multi-missIO Synergies Exploration, Verhoelst et al., 2015) to construct model-based simulations of averaged ozone profile data at the spatiotemporal granularity: (1) of an idealized, perfectly sampled (i.e. complete and homogeneous w.r.t the spatiotemporal scales of natural variability) data record; (2) of current gridded C3S satellite data products; and (3) of ozonesonde-based level-3 data records used as ground-based reference. Inclusion in these simulations of the spatiotemporal smoothing properties of the individual measurements as these determine the spatiotemporal representativeness of the individual measurements (Vandenbussche et al., 2011).

#### **Step 2**

From these simulations, an analysis and characterisation of the systematic and other component of sampling/representativeness errors in the spatial and temporal domain, including cycles and long-term evolution such as that induced by orbital drift or changes in sampling pattern (see also Damadeo et al., 2017 for the impact of sampling variations due to orbital drift on trend studies). This characterization is to be performed for both the ground-based and the satellite gridded data records, with a focus on those products expected to suffer most from poor underlying spatiotemporal sampling, such as those based on occultation sounders (ACE-FTS, SAGE-II, HALOE, GOMOS).

#### **Step 3**

Derivation of the sampling/representativeness uncertainty in the comparison of ozonesonde and satellite level-3 data sets and re-interpretation of the validation results as presented in the C3S PQAR(s), now taking into account the quantitative estimates of sampling difference uncertainty.



Underlying unfulfilled target user requirements:

- REQ-O3-NP-3
- REQ-O3-NP-4
- REQ-O3-LP-3
- REQ-O3-LP-4

GAP.ID	Type	Gap	Recommendation
GAP_VAL_2	R&D	Need for improved quantification of representativeness errors in satellite and ground-based CDRs and for their comparison.	Derivation of the sampling/representativeness uncertainty in the comparison of ozonesonde and satellite L-3 data sets and re-interpretation of the validation results.

**3.4 Opportunities to improve quality and fitness-for-purpose of the CDRs**

In this section gaps are reported which are relevant to the quality of the data products. Activities in the research domain could feed into gap remedies for C3S. One gap w.r.t. (tropospheric) ozone has been identified but not yet analyzed in detail (section 3.4.1). A second gap that has been identified and analyzed relates to the improvement of ozone profiles in the polar regions (3.4.2).

**3.4.1 Reprocessing of L1 data sets used to improved long-term tropospheric ozone records**

Gap Description

Significant new information on GOME-1 and -2A/-2B pre-flight and inflight calibration is emerging, which benefits production of new "Level-1" mission data sets. Accounting for instrumental artefacts to the level required for reliable multi-year, global data sets is likely to require further R&D, especially w.r.t. tropospheric ozone.

Potential remedy

Activities would encompass reprocessed L1 data sets and improved handling of instrumental properties in the retrieval scheme.

Underlying unfulfilled target user requirement:

- REQ-O3-TC-4



GAP.ID	Type	Gap	Recommendation
GAP_ALG_3	R&D	Reprocessing of L1 data sets used to improved long-term tropospheric ozone records.	L1 data reprocessing + improved handling of instrumental properties in the retrieval scheme.

### 3.4.2 Ozone profile data with vertical resolution in the lower troposphere UTLS

#### Gap Description

The complex role played by atmospheric ozone in the evolving Earth system is critically dependent upon its vertical profile as well as its geographical distribution. The value of multi-year global satellite data to Earth system science is therefore critically dependent upon vertical resolution. Global height-resolved ozone distributions spanning the troposphere and stratosphere from 1995 onwards have been produced from a series of UV nadir-sounders within ESA CCI, with the ozone profile retrieval scheme developed by RAL. This scheme is considered state-of-the-art in regard to its tropospheric ozone sensitivity, however, vertical resolution in the lower troposphere and in the upper troposphere / lower stratosphere (UTLS) achievable by UV sounding alone is fundamentally limited by atmospheric radiative transfer. Vertical resolution in these two important height regions therefore limits the potential value of these data to Earth system science; particularly ozone-biosphere feedbacks (mediated through surface ozone deposition via plant uptake) and ozone radiative forcing (for which sensitivity greatest in the UTLS).

#### Gap impact

The data record from European nadir-UV sounders extends back to 1995 and is continuous. However, because their vertical resolution is limited in both the lower troposphere and the UTLS, the value of data produced for ESA CCI, and now C3S, is limited in these key respects for Earth system science (*e.g.*, ozone-biosphere links, composition – climate links) and other applications, *e.g.*, air quality. The potential of Europe’s nadir-UV sounders has therefore yet to be fully realised through data available for users. Future scientific studies and international assessments (*e.g.*, IPCC, WMO) are therefore expected to benefit less from European satellites than they would do if this gap could be closed.

#### Potential remedy

By extending wavelength coverage of the RAL uv-only retrieval scheme to visible/near-IR, its sensitivity and vertical resolution is expected to be substantially increased in the lower troposphere. Global data are to be produced from 1995 onwards to investigate links between near-surface ozone and surface biophysical quantities indicative of plant health, air quality and ozone precursor emissions. By combining co-located IR with UV observations, vertical resolution would be significantly improved in the UTLS. Typically, a single layer (~6-20 km) can be fully-resolved by UV-only, whereas multiple layers can be independently retrieved by adding IR.



**(Part 1) Extension from UV to VIS/near-IR**

Substantial preparatory R&D has already been performed in the frame of the UK NERC National Centre for Earth Observation (NCEO) to simulate the addition of VIS/IR spectral to UV coverage for ozone profile retrieval and to demonstrate with real flight data the necessary consistency between height-integrated ozone columns retrieved from UV and visible bands. Further R&D is required to optimise spectral coverage along with representation of surface biophysical, atmospheric and instrumental variables and prior constraints for the VIS/near-IR step. Attention shall be given to extending coverage to longer UV wavelengths as well as to the visible and near-IR ozone bands. The scheme shall be demonstrated and value added by the VIS/NIR extension quantified through comparison of UV-only and UV/VIS/NIR with ozonesondes, CAMS re-analysis and the surface in situ network.

**(Part 2) Combination with co-located IR**

A scheme to retrieve height-resolved ozone from the IR nadir-sounder IASI has been developed and applied in the frame of NERC’s NCEO to the full Metop-A mission. R&D is required to further refine the IR scheme and to optimise use of co-located IASI (IR) and GOME-2 (UV) information in a combined retrieval on the sunlit side of the orbit. The scheme shall be demonstrated with the full Metop-A mission and the value of the combined retrieval quantified by assessment against stand-alone GOME-2 and IASI retrievals in comparison with ozonesondes, surface in situ networks and CAMS re-analyses.

Underlying partly unfulfilled target user requirement:

REQ-O3-NP-2

GAP.ID	Type	Gap	Recommendation
GAP_ALG_4	R&D	Ozone profile data with vertical resolution in the lower troposphere and upper troposphere / lower stratosphere.	Increase sensitivity and vertical resolution of RAL retrieval scheme in the lower troposphere by extending its wavelength coverage to the visible/near-IR. Global data are to be produced from 1995 onwards to investigate links between near-surface ozone and surface biophysical quantities indicative of ozone precursor emissions. Combining co-located IR with UV observations would significantly improve vertical resolution in the UTLS.

3.4.3 Improvement of ozone profile CDRs in polar regions

Gap Description

The analyses of trends in vertical distribution of ozone have been focused so far on latitudes 60S-60N, e.g., (Sofieva et al., 2017; Steinbrecht et al., 2017; WMO, 2014). The trend studies are not performed for polar regions because of two reasons: (1) the natural ozone variability in polar regions are so high that the results of the multiple regression fitting have a very large uncertainty, (2) the quality of the



merged datasets is usually lower than at middle and lower latitudes, because of limited coverage by satellite data (for example, some instruments cannot provide information in conditions of polar night or polar day).

The stratospheric trend estimates even at latitudes near 60° differ significantly for different merged datasets (Steinbrecht et al., 2017). This difference can be caused by non-uniform (and different) sampling by the merged datasets, drifts in some of data, or deficiencies in trend analyses (for example, if polar trends are seasonally dependent, different seasonal coverage by the datasets might result in the different trend estimates by traditional methods).

For reliable estimates of trends in the vertical distribution of ozone, it is important understand the reasons for difference in trend estimates using the existing merged dataset and create a reliable climate data record of ozone profiles for trend analyses in polar regions.

#### Gap Impact

The evaluation of trends in the vertical distribution of ozone in polar regions is of high importance, because the lowest ozone concentrations are observed there. Understanding the ozone-hole evolution requires long-term good-quality dataset of ozone profiles.

#### Potential remedy

We propose an extensive analysis related to creation of reliable CDR of ozone profiles in polar regions using limb satellite observations, and estimating ozone profile trends in polar regions. The analyses shall include:

- An extensive intercomparison of merged datasets and individual satellite datasets in order to understand the reasons for the difference in estimated trends in polar regions. The satellite datasets shall be also compared with ground-based datasets. Possibility for using polar-vortex-related representation shall be investigated.
- Analyses of influence of sampling pattern by different instruments on the ozone trends.
- Evaluation of POAM III on SPOT-4, SAGE III on Meteor-3M and ISS, SABER on TIMED data for possibility of inclusion them into merged datasets.
- Update/optimization of the merged SAGE-CCI-OMPS dataset for polar region. We expect that this updated dataset includes also the data from Microwave Limb Sounder on Aura and potentially also data from POAM III, SAGE III and SABER. In addition, the merging method described in (Sofieva et al., 2017) shall be compared with an alternative merging methods by (Ball et al., 2017).
- Ozone trend analyses in polar regions. Investigation of seasonal dependence of ozone trends.

#### Underlying unfulfilled target user requirements:

REQ-O3-NP-3

REQ-O3-NP-4



REQ-O3-LP-3

REQ-O3-LP-4

GAP.ID	Type	Gap	Recommendation
GAP_ALG_5	R&D	Improvement of ozone profile CDRs in polar regions.	(i) Comparison of satellite records with merged and ground-based data in order to understand the reasons for the difference in estimated trends in polar regions. (ii) Analysis of the influence of sampling pattern by different instruments on the ozone trends. (iii) Evaluation of POAM III, SAGE III and SABER data for possibility of including them to merged datasets. (iv) Optimization of the merged SAGE-CCI-OMPS dataset for polar regions. (v) Ozone trend analyses in polar regions + investigation of their seasonal dependence.

#### 3.4.4 Improvement of ozone profile CDRs in the UTLS region

##### Gap Description

The upper troposphere and the lower stratosphere is difficult to explore from space. Nadir-viewing instruments do not have sufficient vertical resolution, while the retrievals from limb-viewing satellite measurements in the UTLS are challenging due to presence of clouds, lower signal-to-noise ratio and a strong gradient of species across the tropopause. The satellite data in the UTLS have rather substantial biases with respect to each other and different representation of natural cycles as a result of different sampling patterns and the specifics of retrieval algorithms (Sofieva et al., 2015). Currently, the trends in the UTLS under intensive investigation; the trend estimates from different merged satellite datasets can differ significantly (Steinbrecht et al., 2017).

In the framework of the Ozone\_cci project, a long-term ozone profile climate data record (1984-present) for stratospheric trend analyses has been created, in which the data from 7 satellite instruments (SAGE II, OSIRIS, GOMOS, MIPAS, SCIAMACHY, ACE-FTS, OMPS.LP) are merged (Sofieva et al., 2017). The merging method, which makes use of the de-seasonalized anomalies, seems to be optimal also for the UTLS, as it automatically removes biases. The trends in the UTLS estimated using the merged SAGE-CCI-OMPS data follow the expected trend.

The SAGE-CCI-OMPS dataset has been created mainly for ozone trend studies in the stratosphere, therefore it is not fully optimized for the trend analyses in the UTLS. For UTLS studies, the dataset can





be enhanced by using also the data from MLS/Aura. It is expected that inclusion of MLS would improve the data quality and stability.

Gap Impact

The reliable datasets for studies trends in the UTLS are of high importance, because dynamical, chemical and radiative coupling between the stratosphere and troposphere are among the important processes that must be understood for prediction of global trends. In addition, a reliable ozone profile CDR in the UTLS is important for climate model validation.

Potential remedy

We propose a generation of a specialized ozone profiles climate data record for the UTLS studies. For creating a good climate data record, it is important to use as many as possible high-quality dataset. For the UTLS studies, the SAGE-CCI-OMPS dataset can be enhanced by using the data from Microwave Limb Souder on Aura satellite.

While for the trend studies in the upper stratosphere, the conversion of ozone profiles to other units might introduce additional uncertainty (MLS retrieves ozone mixing ratio of pressure grid, while Ozone\_cci instruments give number density profiles on altitude grid), this should not be a problem in the UTLS. We propose to include MLS data into the merged dataset. In addition, the merging method described in (Sofieva et al., 2017) shall be compared with an alternative merging methods by (Ball et al., 2017). Extensive inter-comparisons of satellite and ground-based data shall be performed.

Underlying unfulfilled target user requirements:

- REQ-O3-LP-3
- REQ-O3-LP-4

GAP.ID	Type	Gap	Recommendation
GAP_ALG_6	R&D	Improvement of ozone profile CDRs in the UTLS region.	Generate a specialized climate data record of ozone profiles for UTLS studies, using as many as possible high-quality datasets. The SAGE-CCI-OMPS dataset can be enhanced by using Aura/MLS data.

**3.5 Scientific research needs**

Gaps which are pure knowledge gaps would require fundamental research activities. One could think of, *e.g.*, better spectroscopic measurements of ozone absorption lines. Funding of scientific research activities by, or at least endorsed through, national and international research programmes would be



most suited to fill fundamental knowledge gaps. Also, universities could play an important role to explore unknown territories w.r.t. the ECV Ozone.

Underlying fulfilled but improvable target user requirement:

REQ-O3-3

GAP.ID	Type	Gap	Recommendation
GAP_INP_1	R&D	Better spectroscopic measurements of ozone absorption lines.	Funding of fundamental research activities by, or at least endorsed through, national and international research programmes.

So far, no specific knowledge gaps requiring scientific research have been reported for the present-day ozone data records. However, a lack of identified gaps does not imply that such gaps could not appear for the ECV Ozone in the future. The identification of important knowledge gaps related to the ozone products provided in the CDS is an ongoing effort by the research community.

### 3.6 Opportunities to exploit the Sentinels and any other relevant satellite

In this section any new opportunities arising from recently launched, planned and proposed future missions for the ECV Ozone are indicated.

Recently launched satellite instruments targeting ozone include:

- GIIRS (Geostationary Interferometric Infrared Sounder) on Feng-Yun FY-4A (2017-2021) and FY-4B (2019-2024)
- SAGE III on ISS (2017)
- TROPOMI on Sentinel-5p (2017)
- OMPS on JPSS-1 (2017)

In the near-future activities need to be defined to transform the upcoming data products into long-term ozone data records.

Next to the recently launched instruments, additional missions carrying instruments targeting ozone have been either proposed or already planned. A list of the (potentially) upcoming missions are included in Table 3.1 below. Planned missions targeting ozone from using limb-emission using either IR and/or mm-wave spectral range are lacking for the 2020-2030 timeframe. Only the ATMOS proposal could fill this gap.

Table 3.1 *Planned and proposed satellite missions targeting ozone*

<b>Mission</b>	<b>Time coverage (estimated start year + ..)</b>	<b>Instruments (viewing direction)</b>
<b>Planned missions</b>		
Metop_SG	2021+	IASI-NG (nadir); Sentinel-5 (nadir)
JPSS-2	2021+	CrIS (nadir); OMPS (nadir + limb)
MTG-S1/MTG-S2	2021+	IRS (nadir); Sentinel-4 (nadir)
Altius	2023+	Altius (solar occultation limb)
<b>Proposed missions</b>		
FY-4MW & FY-4D	2022+	MWIR (Mid-Wave Infrared)/TIR (Thermal Infrared)
ATMOS	2023+	(limb)
GCOM-C3	2025+	(nadir)
Cairos, ESA Explorer-10	2028+	(solar occultation limb)
GACM	2030+	(nadir)



## References

Ball, W. T., Alsing, J., Mortlock, D. J., Rozanov, E. V, Tummon, F., & Haigh, J. D. (2017): Reconciling differences in stratospheric ozone composites. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 17(20), 12269–12302. <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-17-12269-2017>

Brönnimann, S., Bhend, J., Franke, J., Flückiger, S., Fischer, A. M., Bleisch, R., Bodeker, G., Hassler, B., Rozanov, E., and Schraner, M. (2013): A global historical ozone data set and prominent features of stratospheric variability prior to 1979, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 13, 9623-9639, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-13-9623-2013>

Coldewey-Egbers, M., Loyola, D. G., Koukouli, M., Balis, D., Lambert, J.-C., Verhoelst, T., Granville, J., van Roozendaal, M., Lerot, C., Spurr, R., Frith, S. M., and Zehner, C. (2015): The GOME-type Total Ozone Essential Climate Variable (GTO-ECV) data record from the ESA Climate Change Initiative, *Atmos. Meas. Tech.*, 8, 3923-3940, <https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-8-3923-2015>

Cuesta, J., Eremenko, M., Liu, X., Dufour, G., Cai, Z., Höpfner, M., von Clarmann, T., Sellitto, P., Foret, G., Gaubert, B., Beekmann, M., Orphal, J., Chance, K., Spurr, R., and Flaud, J.-M. (2013): Satellite observation of lowermost tropospheric ozone by multispectral synergism of IASI thermal infrared and GOME-2 ultraviolet measurements over Europe, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 13, 9675-9693, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-13-9675-2013>

Damadeo, R. P., Zawodny, J. M., Remsberg, E. E., and Walker, K. A. (2018): The impact of nonuniform sampling on stratospheric ozone trends derived from occultation instruments, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 18, 535-554, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-18-535-2018>

Dameris, M., and S. Godin-Beekmann (Lead Authors), S. Alexander, P. Braesicke, M. Chipperfield, A.T.J. de Laat, Y. Orsolini, M. Rex, and M.L. Santee (2014): Update on Polar ozone: Past, present, and future, Chapter 3 in *Scientific Assessment of Ozone Depletion: 2014*, Global Ozone Research and Monitoring Project – Report No. 55, World Meteorological Organization, Geneva, Switzerland

de Laat, A. T. J., van Weele, M., & van der A, R. J. (2017): Onset of stratospheric ozone recovery in the Antarctic ozone hole in assimilated daily total ozone columns. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, 122, 11,880–11,899, <https://doi.org/10.1002/2016JD025723>

Fishman, J., J.K. Creilson, P.A. Parker, E.A. Ainsworth, G.G. Vining, J. Szarka, F.L. Booker and X. Xu (2010): An investigation of widespread ozone damage to the soybean crop in the upper Midwest determined from ground-based and satellite measurements, *Atmospheric Environment*, 44, 2248-2256

Frith, S. M., Stolarski, R. S., Kramarova, N. A., and McPeters, R. D. (2017): Estimating uncertainties in the SBUV Version 8.6 merged profile ozone data set, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 17, 14695-14707, <https://doi:10.5194/acp-17-14695-2017>



Heue, K.-P., Coldewey-Egbers, M., Delcloo, A., Lerot, C., Loyola, D., Valks, P., and van Roozendaal, M. (2016): Trends of tropical tropospheric ozone from 20 years of European satellite measurements and perspectives for the Sentinel-5 Precursor, *Atmos. Meas. Tech.*, 9, 5037-5051, <https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-9-5037-2016>

Lambert, J.-C., Hubert, D., Keppens, A., Verhoelst, T., and Granville, J., C3S Ozone Product Quality Assessment Report (PQAR) version 1, (2017): C3S\_312a\_Lot4.3.2.3-3.2.8\_201709\_PQAR\_v1, 50 pp., 30 September 2017a

Lambert, J.-C., Hubert, D., Keppens, A., Verhoelst, T., and Granville, J., C3S Ozone Product Quality Assurance Document (PQAD) version 1.1, (2017): C3S\_312a\_Lot4.3.1.4-3.1.9\_201708\_PQAD\_v1.1, 38 pp., 6 November 2017b

Langematz, U., Schmidt, F., Kunze, M., Bodeker, G. E., and Braesicke, P. (2016): Antarctic ozone depletion between 1960 and 1980 in observations and chemistry–climate model simulations, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 16, 15619-15627, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-16-15619-2016>

Miles, G. M., Siddans, R., Kerridge, B. J., Latter, B. G., and Richards, N. A. D. (2015): Tropospheric ozone and ozone profiles retrieved from GOME-2 and their validation, *Atmos. Meas. Tech.*, 8, 385-398, <https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-8-385-2015>

Millán, L. F., Livesey, N. J., Santee, M. L., Neu, J. L., Manney, G. L., and Fuller, R. A. (2016): Case studies of the impact of orbital sampling on stratospheric trend detection and derivation of tropical vertical velocities: solar occultation vs. limb emission sounding, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 16, 11521-11534, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-16-11521-2016>

Sofieva, V. F., Kalakoski, N., Päivärinta, S.-M., Tamminen, J., Laine, M., and Froidevaux, L. (2014): On sampling uncertainty of satellite ozone profile measurements, *Atmos. Meas. Tech.*, 7, 1891-1900, <https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-7-1891-2014>

Sofieva, V. F., Kyrölä, E., Laine, M., Tamminen, J., Degenstein, D., Bourassa, A., ... Bhartia, P. K. (2017): Merged SAGE II, Ozone\_cci and OMPS ozone profile dataset and evaluation of ozone trends in the stratosphere. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 17(20), 12533–12552. <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-17-12533-2017>

Sofieva, V. F., Tamminen, J., Hakkarainen, J., Kyrölä, E., Sofiev, M., Stiller, G., ... Zehner, C. (2015): Ozone structure and variability in the upper troposphere and lower stratosphere as seen by ENVISAT and ESA Third-Party Mission limb profiling instruments. In *ATMOS 2015, Advances in Atmospheric Science and Applications*, ESA SP-735

Steinbrecht, W., Froidevaux, L., Fuller, R., Wang, R., Anderson, J., Roth, C., ... Tummon, F. (2017): An update on ozone profile trends for the period 2000 to 2016. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 17(17), 10675–10690. <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-17-10675-2017>



Stolarski, R. S., Labow, G. J., & McPeters, R. D. (1997): Springtime Antarctic total ozone measurements in the early 1970s from the BUV instrument on Nimbus 4. *Geophysical research letters*, 24(5), 591-594

van der A, R. J., Allaart, M. A. F., and Eskes, H. J. (2015): Extended and refined multi sensor reanalysis of total ozone for the period 1970–2012, *Atmos. Meas. Tech.*, 8, 3021-3035, <https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-8-3021-2015>

Vandenbussche, S., Pieroux, D., and Lambert, J.-C. (2011): EC FP6 GEOmon Technical note D4.2.1 – Multi-dimensional characterisation of remotely sensed data – Chapter 6: Applications of observation operators at NDACC/GEOmon stations, GEOmon TN-IASB-OBSOP/ Chapter 6, BIRA-IASB

van Peet, J. C. A., van der A, R. J., Kelder, H. M., and Levelt, P. F. (2018): Simultaneous assimilation of ozone profiles from multiple UV-VIS satellite instruments, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 18, 1685-1704, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-18-1685-2018>

Verhoelst, T., Granville, J., Hendrick, F., Köhler, U., Lerot, C., Pommereau, J.-P., Redondas, A., Van Roozendaal, M., and Lambert, J.-C. (2015): Metrology of ground-based satellite validation: co-location mismatch and smoothing issues of total ozone comparisons, *Atmos. Meas. Tech.*, 8, 5039-5062, <https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-8-5039-2015>

Weber, M., Coldewey-Egbers, M., Fioletov, V. E., Frith, S. M., Wild, J. D., Burrows, J. P., Long, C. S., and Loyola, D. (2018): Total ozone trends from 1979 to 2016 derived from five merged observational datasets – the emergence into ozone recovery, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 18, 2097-2117, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-18-2097-2018>

Weber, M., Coldewey-Egbers, M., Fioletov, V. E., Frith, S. M., Wild, J. D., Burrows, J. P., Long, C. S., and Loyola, D. (2017): Total ozone trends from 1979 to 2016 derived from five merged observational datasets – the emergence into ozone recovery, *Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss.*, in review, <https://doi:10.5194/acp-2017-853>

WMO (2014): Scientific assessment of ozone depletion, Global Ozone Research and Monitoring Project-Report No. 52. Geneva, Switzerland. Retrieved from <https://www.esrl.noaa.gov/csd/assessments/ozone/>

Worden, J., X. Liu, K. Bowman, K. Chance, R. Beer, A. Eldering, M. Gunson, and H. Worden (2007): Improved tropospheric ozone profile retrievals using OMI and TES radiances, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 34, L01809, <https://doi:10.1029/2006GL027806>

Worden, H. M., K. W. Bowman, S. S. Kulawik, and A. M. Aghedo (2011): Sensitivity of outgoing longwave radiative flux to the global vertical distribution of ozone characterized by instantaneous radiative kernels from Aura-TES, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 116, D14115, <https://doi:10.1029/2010JD015101>



---

Ziemke, J. R., S. Chandra, and P. K. Bhartia (1998): Two new methods for deriving tropospheric column ozone from TOMS measurements: Assimilated UARS MLS/HALOE and convective-cloud differential techniques, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 103(D17), 22115–22127, doi:10.1029/98JD01567.



ECMWF - Shinfield Park, Reading RG2 9AX, UK

Contact: [info@copernicus-climate.eu](mailto:info@copernicus-climate.eu)